

Composite electric S -brane solutions with maximal number of branes and several scalar fields

H. Dehnen¹,

Universität Konstanz, Fakultät für Physik, Fach M 568, D-78457, Konstanz

V.D. Ivashchuk² and V.N. Melnikov³,

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS and Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 3-1 M. Ulyanovoy Str., Moscow, 119313, Russia

Abstract

A $(n+1)$ -dimensional cosmological model with a set of scalar fields and antisymmetric $(p+2)$ -form is considered. Some of scalar fields may have negative kinetic terms, i.e. they may describe “phantom” fields. For certain odd dimensions ($D = 4m + 1 = 5, 9, 13, \dots$) and $(p+2)$ -forms ($p = 2m - 1 = 1, 3, 5, \dots$) and non-exceptional dilatonic coupling vector $\vec{\lambda}$ we obtain cosmological-type solutions to the field equations. These solutions are characterized by self-dual or anti-self-dual charge density forms Q (of rank $2m$) and may describe the maximal set of branes (i.e. when all the branes have non-zero charge densities). Some properties of these solutions are considered, e.g. Kasner-like behavior, the existence of non-singular (e.g. bouncing) solutions and those with acceleration. The solutions with bouncing and acceleration take place when at least there is one “phantom” field in the model.

¹Heinz.Dehnen@uni-konstanz.de

²rusgs@phys.msu.ru

³melnikov@phys.msu.ru

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate composite electric S -brane solutions (space-like analogues of D-branes) in an arbitrary number of dimensions D with a set of scalar fields and $(p + 2)$ -form. The $(p + 2)$ -form is considered using a composite electric ansatz and the metric is taken as diagonal. All ansatz functions for the metric, form field and scalar field are taken to be dependent upon one distinguished coordinate u . (For S -brane solutions see [1]-[16] and references therein.) In [16] a special case of this model containing one scalar field with positive-definite kinetic term was considered.

Here, as in [16], we investigate our system similar to the approach used in [17]. We use the same sigma-model approach with constraints and use the result of the previous work [16], where it was shown that it is possible to satisfy the constraints maximally (*i.e.* all the branes may carry non-zero charge densities) in certain odd dimensions $D = 4m + 1 = 5, 9, 13, \dots$

Here we also examine cosmological-type solutions in these odd dimensional cases, and discuss some of their interesting features such as their Kasner-like behavior, existence of non-singular (e.g. bouncing) solutions and solutions with acceleration among them.

In the next two sections we will give the set up for the system of $D = n + 1$ dimensional gravity with a set of scalar fields and $(p + 2)$ -form field. For the conditions considered in this paper (diagonal metric, composite Sp -brane ansatz for the antisymmetric $(p + 2)$ -form field, and all the ansatz functions depending only on one coordinate) this system can be reduced to a 1-dimensional σ -model with constraints.

In section 4 we consider the quadratic constraints for the charge densities of the branes. Here we briefly remind one of the main result of [16] where it was found that these constraints have “maximal” solutions with all non-zero brane charge densities in particular odd dimensions with particular ranks of form fields: $D = 4m + 1$ and $p = 2m - 1$, respectively ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

In section 5 we investigate cosmological solutions to the field equations for these odd dimensions. We look at the proper time behavior of the simplest of these solutions. We show that certain solutions exhibit Kasner-like behavior at the early times, and some of them have also such behavior for big times. Also we find an accelerated expansion of n -dimensional space for certain negative values of dilatonic vector coupling squared, *i.e.* when there at least one “phantom” scalar field in the model.

In section 6 we single out two subclasses of non-singular solutions, e.g.

with bouncing behavior of the scale factors. Here we also show that solutions with bouncing take place only when there is at least one “phantom” scalar field in the model.

2 D-dimensional gravity coupled to q -form and scalar field

Here we consider the model governed by the action

$$S = \int_M d^D z \sqrt{|g|} \left[R[g] - h_{ab} g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi^a \partial_N \varphi^b - \frac{1}{q!} \exp(2\lambda_a \varphi^a) F^2 \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where $g = g_{MN} dz^M \otimes dz^N$ is the metric, $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^a)$ is a set (vector) of scalar fields, $a = 1, \dots, l$, $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda^a) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is a constant vector (set) of dilatonic couplings, (h_{ab}) is a symmetric non-degenerate $l \times l$ matrix and

$$F = dA = \frac{1}{q!} F_{M_1 \dots M_q} dz^{M_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{M_q}, \quad (2.2)$$

is a q -form, $q = p + 2 \geq 2$, on a D -dimensional manifold M . Without loss of generality the matrix (h_{ab}) may be considered as a diagonal one.

In (2.1) we denote $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$ and

$$F^2 = F_{M_1 \dots M_q} F_{N_1 \dots N_q} g^{M_1 N_1} \dots g^{M_q N_q}. \quad (2.3)$$

The equations of motion corresponding to (2.1) are following

$$R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} R = T_{MN}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Delta[g] \varphi^a - \frac{\lambda^a}{q!} e^{2\vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi}} F^2 = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\nabla_{M_1}[g](e^{2\vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi}} F^{M_1 \dots M_q}) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\lambda^a = h^{ab} \lambda_b$, (h^{ab}) is a matrix inverse to (h_{ab}) and $\vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi} = \lambda_a \varphi^a$.

In (2.5) and (2.6), $\Delta[g]$ and $\nabla[g]$ are Laplace-Beltrami and covariant derivative operators corresponding to g . Equations (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) are, respectively, the multidimensional Einstein-Hilbert equations, the “Klein-Fock-Gordon” equation for the scalar field and the “Maxwell” equations for the q -form.

The stress-energy tensor in (2.4) can be split up as

$$T_{MN} = T_{MN}[\varphi, g] + e^{2\bar{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}} T_{MN}[F, g], \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$T_{MN}[\vec{\varphi}, g] = h_{ab}(\partial_M \varphi^a \partial_N \varphi^b - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \partial_P \varphi^a \partial^P \varphi^b), \quad (2.8)$$

$$T_{MN}[F, g] = \frac{1}{q!} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g_{MN} F^2 + q F_{M M_2 \dots M_q} F_N{}^{M_2 \dots M_q} \right], \quad (2.9)$$

being the stress-energy tensor of scalar fields and q -form, respectively.

Let us consider the manifold

$$M = (u_-, u_+) \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.10)$$

with the diagonal metric

$$g = w e^{2\gamma(u)} du \otimes du + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\phi^i(u)} \varepsilon_i dy^i \otimes dy^i, \quad (2.11)$$

where $w = \pm 1$, and u is a distinguished coordinate. The functions $\gamma(u)$, $\phi^i(u)$, the scalar fields $\varphi^a(u)$ and the q -forms are assumed to depend only on u .

Here

$$\varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \quad (2.12)$$

are signature parameters, $i = 1, \dots, n$. When $w = -1$ and all $\varepsilon_i = 1$ the solutions are cosmological. The functions $\gamma, \phi^i: (u_-, u_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth.

Here as in [16] we define

$$\Omega_0 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \dots, \{n\}, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \{1, \dots, n\}\} \quad (2.13)$$

which is the set of all subsets of

$$I_0 \equiv \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (2.14)$$

For any $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \Omega_0$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, we define a form of rank $d(I) \equiv k$

$$\tau(I) \equiv dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k}, \quad (2.15)$$

The corresponding brane submanifold is described by coordinates $y^{i_1}, \dots, y^{i_k}$. We also define the $\mathcal{E}$ -symbol as

$$\mathcal{E}(I) \equiv \varepsilon_{i_1} \dots \varepsilon_{i_k}. \quad (2.16)$$

Here we adopt the following electric composite Sp -brane ansatz for the field of the $(p+2)$ -form

$$F = \sum_{I \in \Omega_e} d\Phi^I \wedge \tau(I) \quad (2.17)$$

where the set

$$\Omega_e \equiv \{I \in \Omega_0 | d(I) = q - 1 = p + 1\} \quad (2.18)$$

contains all subsets of Ω_0 of the “length” $p+1$, *i.e.* of the form $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_p\}$.

We assume that the scalar potential and all scalar fields depend on the distinguished coordinate

$$\Phi^I = \Phi^I(u) , \quad \varphi^a = \varphi^a(u). \quad (2.19)$$

3 σ -model representation with constraints

3.1 σ -model

As it was shown in [17] (see Proposition 2 in [17]) the diagonal part of Einstein equations (2.4) and the equations of motion (2.5)–(2.6), for the ansatz given in (2.11), (2.17)–(2.19), are equivalent to the equations of motion for a 1-dimensional σ -model with the action (see also [6, 7])

$$S_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int du \mathcal{N} \left[G_{ij} \dot{\phi}^i \dot{\phi}^j + h_{ab} \dot{\varphi}^a \dot{\varphi}^b + \sum_{I \in \Omega_e} \mathcal{E}(I) \exp[-2U^I(\phi, \vec{\varphi})] (\dot{\Phi}^I)^2 \right], \quad (3.1)$$

the overdots represent differentiation with respect to u , *i.e.* $\frac{d}{du}$.

The factor $\mathcal{N}$ is the lapse function given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \exp(\gamma_0 - \gamma) > 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\gamma_0(\phi) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^i, \quad (3.3)$$

The factor in the exponent is given by

$$U^I = U^I(\phi, \vec{\varphi}) = -\vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi} + \sum_{i \in I} \phi^i. \quad (3.4)$$

Here and it what follows

$$G_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - 1 \quad (3.5)$$

are components of the “pure cosmological” minisupermetric matrix, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, see [22, 23].

The generalized “Maxwell equations” of (2.6)

$$\frac{d}{du} \left(\exp(-2U^I) \dot{\Phi}^I \right) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

can be readily integrated to give

$$\dot{\Phi}^I = Q(I) \exp(2U^I), \quad (3.7)$$

where $Q(I)$ are constant charge densities, $I \in \Omega_e$.

We will fix the time gauge to be harmonic one

$$\gamma = \gamma_0, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

We also introduce collective variables $x = (x^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^a)$ and a “truncated” target space metric

$$\bar{G} = \bar{G}_{AB} dx^A \otimes dx^B = G_{ij} d\phi^i \otimes d\phi^j + h_{ab} d\varphi^a \otimes d\varphi^b, \quad (3.9)$$

$$(\bar{G}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{ab} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

The U^I -vectors defined in (3.4) can be written as $U^I(x) = U_A^I x^A$ with components

$$(U_A^I) = (\delta_{iI}, -\lambda_a), \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\delta_{iI} \equiv \sum_{j \in I} \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in I; \\ 0, & i \notin I; \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

is an indicator of i belonging to I . For fixed charge densities $Q(I)$, $I \in \Omega_e$, the equations of motion for the σ -model in (3.1) are equivalent to the Lagrange equations corresponding to the Lagrangian

$$L_Q = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{AB} \dot{x}^A \dot{x}^B - V_Q, \quad (3.13)$$

with the zero-energy constraint

$$E_Q = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{AB} \dot{x}^A \dot{x}^B + V_Q = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

imposed. Here

$$V_Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \in \Omega_e} \mathcal{E}(I) Q^2(I) \exp[2U^I(x)]. \quad (3.15)$$

In section 5 we will find explicit solutions to the field equations resulting from (3.13) - (3.15) for certain dimensions $D = 4m + 1$ and positive ε_i .

3.2 Constraints

Due to diagonality of the Ricci-tensor for the metric (2.11) the non-diagonal part of the Einstein equations (2.4) reads as follows

$$T_{ij} = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (3.16)$$

This leads to constraints on the charge densities $Q(I)$. The non-diagonal components of stress-energy tensor are proportional to

$$e^{2\vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}} F_{iM_2\dots M_q} F_j^{M_2\dots M_q}, \quad (3.17)$$

with $i \neq j$. From (2.17) (3.4) and (3.7) we obtain for the $(p+2)$ -form

$$F = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} Q_{i_0\dots i_p} \exp(2\phi^{i_0} + \dots + 2\phi^{i_p} - 2\vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}) du \wedge dy^{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_p} \quad (3.18)$$

Inserting this in (3.17) we are led to the following constraint equations on charge densities [17]

$$C_{ij} \equiv \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^n Q_{ii_1\dots i_p} Q_{ji_1\dots i_p} \varepsilon_{i_1} e^{2\phi^{i_1}} \dots \varepsilon_{i_p} e^{2\phi^{i_p}} = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

where $i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. (T_{ij} is proportional to $\exp(-2\vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi} - 2\gamma + 2\phi^i + 2\phi^j) C_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$.)

Here $p = q - 2$ and $Q_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p}$ are components of the antisymmetric form of rank $p + 1 = q - 1$ and

$$Q_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p} = Q(\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_p\}) \quad (3.20)$$

for $i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_p$ and $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in \Omega_e$.

The number of constraints in (3.19) is $n(n-1)/2$. It was shown in [16] that these constraints can be satisfied when the dimension of space-time takes certain odd values (see next section).

4 Solution to constraints for $D=4m+1$

As in [16] we rewrite the constraints (3.19) as

$$\bar{C}_i^j = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^n \bar{Q}_{ii_1 \dots i_p} \bar{Q}^{ji_1 \dots i_p} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, where we introduce “running” charge densities

$$\bar{Q}_{ii_1 \dots i_p} = Q_{ii_1 \dots i_p} \prod_{k=0}^p \exp(\phi^{i_k}). \quad (4.2)$$

Here the indices are lifted by the flat metric

$$\eta = \varepsilon_1 dy^1 \otimes dy^1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n dy^n \otimes dy^n = \eta_{ab} dy^a \otimes dy^b, \quad (4.3)$$

where $(\eta_{ab}) = (\eta^{ab}) = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, *i.e.* $\bar{Q}^{i_0 \dots i_p} = \eta^{i_0 i'_0} \dots \eta^{i_p i'_p} \bar{Q}_{i'_0 \dots i'_p}$. Here we denote $\bar{C}_i^j = C_i^j \exp(\phi^i + \phi^j)$ with $C_i^j = C_{ik} \eta^{kj}$.

It was shown in [16] that when the total space-time dimension is the following one

$$D = n + 1 = 2(p + 1) + 1 = 4m + 1 = 5, 9, 13, \dots \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$p = 2m - 1 = 1, 3, 5, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 1 \quad (4.6)$$

then any self-dual or anti-self-dual “running” charge density form

$$\bar{Q}_{i_0 \dots i_p} = \pm \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \varepsilon_{i_0 \dots i_p j_0 \dots j_p} \bar{Q}^{j_0 \dots j_p} = \pm (*\bar{Q})_{i_0 \dots i_p}. \quad (4.7)$$

satisfies the constraint equations (4.1) (or, equivalently, eqs. (3.19)). Here the symbol $*$ = $*[\eta]$ is the Hodge operator with respect to η . The relation (4.6) means that the number of time-like coordinates is even.

Remark. It was shown in [16] that in the special case: $D = 5$, $p = 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_4 = 1$ the solutions presented above are the only solutions to constraints (4.1) when all $\bar{Q}_{ij}$ ($i < j$) are imposed to be non-zero ones.

5 Cosmological-type solutions for D= 4m+1

Here we consider cosmological type solutions for the dimensions from (4.4) when all y^i -coordinates are space-like, *i.e.*

$$\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_n = 1. \quad (5.1)$$

It was shown in [16] that the definition of running constants (4.2) and the (anti-) self-duality of the charge density form (4.7) imply that all scale factors are the same up to constants:

$$\phi^i(u) = \phi(u) + c^i. \quad (5.2)$$

Without loss of generality we put $c^i = 0$ which may always be done via a proper rescaling of y -coordinates. This also implies that non-running charge density form $Q_{i_0 \dots i_p}$ is self-dual or anti-self-dual in a flat Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, *i.e.*

$$Q_{i_0 \dots i_p} = \pm \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \varepsilon_{i_0 \dots i_p j_0 \dots j_p} Q^{j_0 \dots j_p} = \pm (*Q)_{i_0 \dots i_p}. \quad (5.3)$$

The Lagrangian and total energy constraint are given by

$$L_Q = \frac{1}{2} G_{ij} \dot{\phi}^i \dot{\phi}^j - V_Q + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} \dot{\varphi}^a \dot{\varphi}^b, \quad (5.4)$$

$$E_Q = \frac{1}{2} G_{ij} \dot{\phi}^i \dot{\phi}^j + V_Q + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} \dot{\varphi}^a \dot{\varphi}^b = 0, \quad (5.5)$$

with the potential being

$$V_Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_I Q^2(I) \exp \left(2 \sum_{k \in I} \phi^k - 2 \vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi} \right), \quad (5.6)$$

see (3.13)-(3.15).

The field equations for ϕ and φ^a from L_Q are the following ones

$$\sum_{j=1}^n G_{ij} \ddot{\phi}^j + \sum_I Q^2(I) \delta_I^i \exp \left(2 \sum_{k \in I} \phi^k - 2 \vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi} \right) = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi}^a + \sum_I Q^2(I) (-\lambda^a) \exp \left(2 \sum_{k \in I} \phi^k - 2 \vec{\lambda} \vec{\varphi} \right) = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$a = 1, \dots, l$.

Since all $\phi^i = \phi$ we get $\sum_{j=1}^n G_{ij} \ddot{\phi}^j = \sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - 1) \ddot{\phi}^j = (1 - n) \ddot{\phi}$.

As in [16], defining

$$Q^2 \equiv \sum_I Q^2(I) \neq 0 \quad (5.9)$$

and noting that due to (5.3)

$$\sum_I Q^2(I) \delta_I^i = \frac{1}{2} Q^2 \quad (5.10)$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, we could write the field equations (5.7) and (5.8) in the following form

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Q^2 \exp(n\phi - 2\vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}), \quad (5.11)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi}^a = \lambda^a Q^2 \exp(n\phi - 2\vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}), \quad (5.12)$$

$a = 1, \dots, l$.

Equations (5.11) and (5.12) imply

$$\ddot{f} = -2Ae^{2f}, \quad (5.13)$$

where the definitions

$$f \equiv \frac{n}{2}\phi - \vec{\lambda}\vec{\varphi}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$A \equiv \frac{Q^2}{2}K, \quad K \equiv \vec{\lambda}^2 - \frac{n}{4(n-1)}, \quad (5.15)$$

are assumed. Here and in what follows $\vec{\lambda}^2 = h_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b$.

The first integral of (5.13) is

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{f}^2 + Ae^{2f} = \frac{1}{2}C, \quad (5.16)$$

where C is an integration constant.

Let $K \neq 0$, or

$$\vec{\lambda}^2 \neq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \equiv \lambda_0^2. \quad (5.17)$$

Equation (5.13) has several solutions [16]:

$$f = -\ln[z|2A|^{1/2}] \quad (5.18)$$

with

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}} \sinh \left[(u - u_0) \sqrt{C} \right], \quad A < 0, \quad C > 0; \quad (5.19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-C}} \sin \left[(u - u_0) \sqrt{-C} \right], \quad A < 0, \quad C < 0; \quad (5.20)$$

$$= u - u_0, \quad A < 0, \quad C = 0; \quad (5.21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}} \cosh \left[(u - u_0) \sqrt{C} \right], \quad A > 0, \quad C > 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Using (5.11) and (5.12) we get the following relationship

$$\ddot{\varphi}^a = 2(n-1)\lambda^a \ddot{\phi}, \quad (5.23)$$

which has the solution

$$\varphi^a = 2(n-1)\lambda^a \phi + C_2^a u + C_1^a, \quad (5.24)$$

where C_2^a, C_1^a are integration constants, $a = 1, \dots, l$. Combining (5.24) with (5.14) gives

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2(1-n)K} \left[f(u) + \vec{\lambda}(\vec{C}_2 u + \vec{C}_1) \right], \quad (5.25)$$

and

$$\varphi^a = -\frac{\lambda^a}{K} \left[f(u) + \vec{\lambda}(\vec{C}_2 u + \vec{C}_1) \right] + C_2^a u + C_1^a, \quad (5.26)$$

$a = 1, \dots, l$.

Substituting this to the zero-energy constraint

$$E_Q = \frac{1}{2}n(1-n)\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}h_{ab}\dot{\varphi}^a\dot{\varphi}^b + \frac{1}{2}Q^2 e^{2f(u)} = 0 \quad (5.27)$$

and using (5.16) we get

$$2E_Q = \frac{C}{K} + (\vec{C}_2)^2 - \frac{(\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2)^2}{K} = 0, \quad (5.28)$$

or, equivalently,

$$C = (\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2)^2 - K(\vec{C}_2)^2 = (\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2)^2 - \vec{\lambda}^2(\vec{C}_2)^2 + \frac{n}{4(n-1)}(\vec{C}_2)^2. \quad (5.29)$$

where $(\vec{C}_2)^2 = h_{ab}C_2^a C_2^b$ and $\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2 = \lambda_a C_2^a$.

Thus, the solutions for the metric, scalar fields and $(p+2)$ -form read:

$$ds^2 = we^{2n\phi(u)}du^2 + e^{2\phi(u)}\sum_{i=1}^n(dy^i)^2 \quad (5.30)$$

$$\varphi^a = -\frac{\lambda^a}{K} \left[f(u) + \vec{\lambda}(\vec{C}_2 u + \vec{C}_1) \right] + C_2^a u + C_1^a, \quad (5.31)$$

$$F = e^{2f(u)}du \wedge Q, \quad Q = \frac{1}{(p+1)!}Q_{i_0\dots i_p}dy^{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_p}, \quad (5.32)$$

$a = 1, \dots, l$, with $\phi(u)$ given by (5.25) and the function $f(u)$ given by (5.18) and (5.19), (5.20), (5.21), (5.22). Here the charge density form Q of rank $n/2 = 2m$ is self-dual or anti-self-dual in a flat Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$: $Q = \pm *Q$, the parameters C_2^a, C obey (5.29) and the vector of dilatonic coupling constants $\vec{\lambda}$ is non-exceptional one, see (5.17).

5.1 Special attractor solution for $C_2^a = 0$

Here we consider the simplest cosmological type solution with $C_2^a = 0$ and, hence, $C = 0$, i.e. the solution corresponding to (5.21). For this solution $A < 0$ and hence

$$\vec{\lambda}^2 < \lambda_0^2. \quad (5.33)$$

Without loss of generality we put $u_0 = 0$.

Let us introduce a “proper time” coordinate:

$$d\tau = -e^{n\phi(u)}du, \quad (5.34)$$

where

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2(n-1)K} \ln(u|2\bar{A}|^{1/2}), \quad \bar{A} = Ae^{-2\lambda_a C_1^a}. \quad (5.35)$$

Integrating (5.34) and taking a suitable choice of reference point we get for $\vec{\lambda}^2 \neq -\lambda_0^2$

$$|\alpha||2\bar{A}|^{1/2}\tau = (u|2\bar{A}|^{1/2})^\alpha, \quad (5.36)$$

where $u > 0$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{\vec{\lambda}^2 + \lambda_0^2}{\vec{\lambda}^2 - \lambda_0^2}. \quad (5.37)$$

We note that for $\vec{\lambda}^2 > -\lambda_0^2$ we get $\alpha < 0$, and hence $\tau = \tau(u)$ is monotonically decreasing from infinity (when $u = 0$) to zero (when $u = \infty$).

The metric (5.30) now reads

$$ds^2 = w d\tau^2 + B \tau^{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n (dy^i)^2, \quad (5.38)$$

where $\tau > 0$ and

$$\nu = \frac{2}{n + 4\vec{\lambda}^2(n-1)}, \quad B = (|\alpha| |2\bar{A}|^{1/2})^{2\nu}. \quad (5.39)$$

For scalar field we get the following relations

$$\varphi^a = 2\lambda^a(n-1)\nu \ln \tau + \varphi_0^a, \quad (5.40)$$

$a = 1, \dots, l$; where $B = B_0 \exp(-4\lambda_a \varphi_0^a)$ and $B_0 = (|\alpha| |2A|^{1/2})^{2\nu}$.

For $(p+2)$ -form we get

$$F = \frac{(d\tau \wedge Q)}{2\alpha |A| \tau} \frac{|2A|^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha}}{(|\alpha| \tau)^{1/\alpha}} \exp(-2\lambda_a \varphi_0^a). \quad (5.41)$$

By putting $\vec{\lambda} = 0$ and $w = -1$ in the above solution we get a cosmological power-law expansion with a power $\nu = 2/n$ that is the same as in the case of $D = 1 + n$ dust matter with a zero pressure (see, for example [20, 21, 22]). This coincidence was explained in [16], i.e. it was shown that the collection of branes with charge densities obeying (anti)-self-duality condition (5.3) behaves as a dust matter.

The solution given by relations (5.38), (5.38), (5.41) is an attractor solution in the limit $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, or $u \rightarrow +0$, for the solutions with $A < 0$ given by (5.19). This follows just from the relation $\sinh u \sim u$ for small u .

Accelerated expansion. When

$$\nu > 1 \quad (5.42)$$

we get an accelerated expansion of n -dimensional space. This relation is equivalent to the following one ($n > 2$)

$$-\frac{n}{4(n-1)} < \vec{\lambda}^2 < -\frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)}. \quad (5.43)$$

This means that $\vec{\lambda}^2 < 0$ and, hence, the matrix (h_{ab}) is not a positive-definite one. So, there should be at least one scalar field with negative kinetic term (i.e. so-called phantom field).

5.2 Kasner-like behavior for $\tau \rightarrow +0$

Now, we consider $u \rightarrow +\infty$ asymptotical behavior of solutions with i) sinh- and ii) cosh- functions corresponding to (5.19) and (5.22), respectively. In both cases we find that the asymptotic behavior is Kasner like. We remind that in general case such behaviour is described by the following asymptotical relations for the metric and scalar fields [18, 19]

$$ds_{as}^2 = wd\tau^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \tau^{2\alpha^i} A_i (dy^i)^2, \quad \varphi_{as}^a = \alpha_\varphi^a \ln \tau + \varphi_0^a, \quad (5.44)$$

where $A_i > 0$, φ_0^a are constants. The Kasner parameters obey

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha^i)^2 + h_{ab} \alpha_\varphi^a \alpha_\varphi^b = 1. \quad (5.45)$$

In our case all α^i are coinciding since we have an isotropic expansion described by the metric.

Here we consider for simplicity the case when the matrix (h_{ab}) is positive definite one. In what follows we consider solutions with $C > 0$. We also put $\vec{C}_2 \neq 0$ that imply $(\vec{C}_2)^2 > 0$.

In the first case i) with sinh-dependence and $K < 0$ (or, equivalently, $\vec{\lambda}^2 < \lambda_0^2$) the proper time τ is decreasing when $u \rightarrow +\infty$. From eqs. (5.18), (5.19), (5.22), (5.25) and (5.34) we find the following asymptotic behavior as $u \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\phi \sim -bu + \text{const}, \quad b = \frac{\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2 - \sqrt{C}}{2(n-1)K} > 0, \quad (5.46)$$

$$\varphi^a \sim [C_2^a - 2(n-1)b\lambda^a]u + \text{const}, \quad (5.47)$$

$$\tau \sim \text{const} \exp(-nbu). \quad (5.48)$$

The inequality (5.46) follows just from (5.29).

Using these asymptotic relations and writing everything in terms of proper time one find that the metric and scalar field take the following asymptotic forms

$$ds_{as}^2 = wd\tau^2 + \tau^{2/n} A_0 \sum_{i=1}^n (dy^i)^2, \quad (5.49)$$

$$\varphi_{as}^a = \alpha_\varphi^a \ln \tau + \varphi_0^a, \quad (5.50)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow +0$. Here $A_0 > 0$, φ_0^a are constants and

$$\alpha_\varphi^a = \frac{2(n-1)\lambda^a}{n} - \frac{C_2^a}{nb}. \quad (5.51)$$

It may be verified that the Kasner relation

$$h_{ab}\alpha_\varphi^a\alpha_\varphi^b = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \quad (5.52)$$

is satisfied identically (in our case all $\alpha^i = 1/n$).

In the second case ii) with cosh-dependence and $K > 0$ (or, equivalently, $\vec{\lambda}^2 > \lambda_0^2$) the proper time τ decreases as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ for $\lambda_a C_2^a > 0$ and increases for $\lambda_a C_2^a < 0$. In this case we also get an asymptotical Kasner type relations (5.49)-(5.50) both in the limits $\tau \rightarrow +0$ and $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$.

The Kasner parameters for scalar fields have the following form

$$\alpha_{\varphi,\mp}^a = \frac{2(n-1)\lambda^a}{n} - \frac{C_2^a}{nb_\mp} \quad (5.53)$$

where

$$b_\mp = \frac{\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2 \mp \text{sign}(\vec{\lambda}\vec{C}_2)\sqrt{C}}{2(n-1)K}. \quad (5.54)$$

The signs "-" and "+" refer to the asymptotical behaviors for $\tau \rightarrow +0$ and $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, respectively.

In both sinh- and cosh- cases the Kasner set $\alpha = (\alpha^i = 1/n, \alpha_\varphi^a)$ corresponding to the limit $\tau \rightarrow +0$ obeys the inequalities

$$U^I(\alpha) = -\lambda_a \alpha_\varphi^a + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha^i = -\lambda_a \alpha_\varphi^a + \frac{1}{2} > 0 \quad (5.55)$$

for all brane sets I . This is in agreement with a general prescription of the billiard representation from [18].

In the case ii) we obtain that the Kasner set corresponding to the limit $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ obeys the inequalities

$$U^I(\alpha) < 0 \quad (5.56)$$

for all I .

Relations (5.55) and (5.56) are nothing more then the conditions for vanishing of the q -form term $\exp(2\lambda_a \varphi^a) F^2$ in the Lagrangian (2.1) in Kasner regimes with $\tau \rightarrow +0$ and $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, respectively (see [18]).

Scattering law for Kasner parameters. The Kasner parameters for "in" ($\tau \rightarrow +0$) and "out" ($\tau \rightarrow +\infty$) asymptotics are related as follows

$$\alpha_{\varphi,+}^a = \frac{2(n-1)\lambda^a}{n} - \frac{\alpha_{\varphi,-}^a - \frac{2(n-1)\lambda^a}{n}}{1 + \frac{n}{(n-1)K}[\lambda_a \alpha_{\varphi,-}^a - \frac{2(n-1)\tilde{\lambda}^2}{n}]}. \quad (5.57)$$

This relation could be readily obtained from formulas (5.53) and (5.54). Let us explain the geometrical sense of (5.57) putting for simplicity $h_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$. Vectors $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,-}$ and $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,+}$ belong to the $(l-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius $R = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}}$. Let us introduce a vector $\vec{\Lambda} = \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}(n-1)}{n}$. The endpoint of this vector is out of the sphere (of radius R) due to $K > 0$. If we consider a line connecting the endpoints of the vectors $\vec{\Lambda}$ and $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,-}$, then we get another point of intersection of this line with the sphere. This another point corresponds to the vector $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,+}$.

Remark. In [19] we have suggested an example of never-ending oscillating behavior near the singularity supported by scalar fields with multiple exponential potential. In [19] we have found a "reflection law" for scalar Kasner parameters using the general relation from [15]. Here we suggest an example of "Kasner scattering", when Kasner behaviour takes place both "in" ($\tau \rightarrow +0$) and "out" ($\tau \rightarrow +\infty$) asymptotics. In this case the "scattering" also takes place only for scalar part of Kasner parameters, while Kasner parameters for the metric are coinciding in both asymptotics.

Remark. Here as in [26] we also deal with the illumination of a sphere by a point-like sources. Indeed, if we put a source of light into the endpoint of the vector $\vec{\Lambda}$ then we get two domains on the sphere: i) the domain illuminated (strongly) by the source of light; and ii) the shadow domain. Due to inequalities (5.55) and (5.56) the vector $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,-}$ belongs to the shadow domain and the vector $\vec{\alpha}_{\varphi,+}$ belongs to the illuminated one. The map (5.57) transforms from the shadow domain into another one.

6 Non-singular solutions

Here we single out subclasses of non-singular solutions, e.g. with bouncing behavior of scale factor

$$a = e^{\phi(u)} = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2(1-n)K} \bar{f}(u) \right], \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$\bar{f} = f + \lambda_a(C_2^a u + C_1^a). \quad (6.2)$$

In this section we put

$$\bar{\lambda}^2 > -\lambda_0^2. \quad (6.3)$$

Let us consider the case $K < 0$, or equivalently, $\bar{\lambda}^2 < \lambda_0^2$. In what follows we use synchronous or proper time variable from (5.34), or, equivalently,

$$\tau = \int_u^{u_*} du' e^{n\phi(u')}. \quad (6.4)$$

For $C < 0$ we have a bouncing behavior of scale factor

It follows from (6.1), (6.2) and (6.4) that the solution is a non-singular one for $\tau \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ in such three cases

$$(A_+) \quad \lambda_a C_2^a > \sqrt{C}, \quad C \geq 0; \quad (6.5)$$

$$(A_0) \quad \lambda_a C_2^a = \sqrt{C}, \quad C > 0; \quad (6.6)$$

$$(A_-) \quad C < 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Indeed, for $u = u_0$, the integral in (6.4) is divergent in all three cases due to

$$e^{n\phi(u)} \sim (u - u_0)^{\alpha-1}, \quad (6.8)$$

as $u \rightarrow u_0 + 0$, since $\alpha < 0$ (see, (5.37)) according to (6.3). This implies $\tau(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $u \rightarrow u_0 + 0$. The relation (6.8) just follows from relations $\sinh(x) \sim \sin(x) \sim x$ for small x .

In the case (A_-) the function $\phi(u)$ is defined on the interval (u_0, u_+) and

$$e^{n\phi(u)} \sim (u_+ - u)^{\alpha-1}, \quad (6.9)$$

as $u \rightarrow u_+ - 0$. This follows just from $\sin(\pi - x) = \sin(x) \sim x$ for small x . Relation (6.9) implies $\tau(u) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $u \rightarrow u_+ - 0$. Thus, in the case (A_-) the solution is defined for $\tau \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

For (A_+) – and (A_0) – cases the solution is defined for $u \in (u_0, +\infty)$. When $C > 0$ the function (6.2) has the following asymptotical behavior

$$\bar{f} \sim -(u - u_0)\sqrt{C} + \lambda_a C_2^a u + \text{const} \quad (6.10)$$

for $u \rightarrow +\infty$, that implies the divergency of the integral in (6.4) for $u = +\infty$. An analogous consideration could be done for $C = 0$ (with logarithmic term

appearing instead of the first term in r.h.s. of (6.10)). Thus, in both cases (A_+) and (A_0) the solution is defined for $\tau \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

In the cases (A_+) and (A_-) we also get a bouncing behaviour of the scale factor $a(\tau)$ at some point τ_b , i.e. the function $a(\tau)$ is monotonically decreasing in the interval $(-\infty, \tau_b)$ and monotonically increasing in the interval $(\tau_b, +\infty)$.

In the case (A_0) the solution is non-singular, the function $a(\tau)$ is monotonically increasing to infinity from a non-zero value.

Remark. A similar behavior takes place in D -dimensional model with two sets of scalar fields: “usual” $\vec{\varphi}$ and phantom $\vec{\psi}$ ones and exponential potential depending upon $\vec{\varphi}$, see [25].

Let us show that the bouncing behavior for $K < 0$ takes place only when the matrix (h_{ab}) is not positive definite, i.e. when at least one scalar field is a “phantom” one. Indeed, in the case (A_+) we get squaring (6.5)

$$(\lambda_a C_2^a)^2 > C = (\vec{\lambda} \vec{C}_2)^2 - K(\vec{C}_2)^2, \quad (6.11)$$

and, consequently, $(\vec{C}_2)^2 = h_{ab} C_2^a C_2^b < 0$. The same inequality we get in the case (A_-) just from the relation $C = (\vec{\lambda} \vec{C}_2)^2 - K(\vec{C}_2)^2 < 0$. This means that the matrix (h_{ab}) is not positive-definite, i.e. we have a certain number ($k \geq 1$) of “phantom” fields among φ^a .

Let us consider the case $K > 0$, or equivalently, $\vec{\lambda}^2 > \lambda_0^2$. We get from (5.22) $C > 0$.

The solution is a non-singular one for $\tau \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ in such two cases

$$(B_+) \quad |\lambda_a C_2^a| < \sqrt{C}; \quad (6.12)$$

$$(B_0) \quad |\lambda_a C_2^a| = \sqrt{C}. \quad (6.13)$$

Indeed, for $K > 0$ the solution is defined for $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and the function (6.2) has the following asymptotical behavior

$$\bar{f} \sim \mp(u - u_0)\sqrt{C} + \lambda_a C_2^a u + \text{const} \quad (6.14)$$

for $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$. This relation implies the divergency of the integral (6.4) for $u = \pm\infty$, when restrictions (B_+) and (B_0) are imposed.

In the case (B_+) we get a bouncing behavior of scale factor $a(\tau)$ analogous to that in the case (A_+) . In the case (B_0) the solution is non-singular, the function $a(\tau)$ is monotonically increasing to infinity from a some non-zero value (as in the case (A_0)).

For the (B_+) -case we get

$$(\lambda_a C_2^a)^2 < C = (\vec{\lambda} \vec{C}_2)^2 - K(\vec{C}_2)^2, \quad (6.15)$$

and, hence, $(\vec{C}_2)^2 = h_{ab} C_2^a C_2^b < 0$. The matrix (h_{ab}) is not positive-definite.

Thus, we have shown that the solutions with the bouncing behavior may take place only when there are “phantom” fields among the scalar ones.

For non-singular solutions of the cases (A_0) and (B_0) we get by analogous consideration that $(\vec{C}_2)^2 = h_{ab} C_2^a C_2^b = 0$. In these cases the non-singular solutions may take place also for positive-definite matrix (h_{ab}) and but for zero constants: $C_2^a = 0$.

7 Conclusions

In this article we have studied a $(n+1)$ -dimensional gravitational model with a set of l scalar fields and an antisymmetric form field of rank $p+2$. The special case of this model containing one scalar field ($l=1$) with positive-definite kinetic term was investigated in [16].

The metric was taken to be diagonal one, and for the $(p+2)$ -form a composite electric Sp -brane form was adopted. All ansatz functions depended only on the one distinguished coordinate, u . Under these conditions the initial model was reduced to an effective 1-dimensional σ -model. The diagonal form of our metric ansatz resulted in the appearance of constraint equations on the charge densities of branes associated with the $(p+2)$ -form field.

It was found in [16] that these constraint equations may be satisfied for certain odd values of the space-time dimension, given by $D = 4m + 1 = 5, 9, 13, \dots$, the model allowed the maximal number of the charged electric branes.

For these special odd dimensions and non-exceptional dilatonic coupling ($\vec{\lambda}^2 \neq \frac{n}{4(n-1)}$) we have found exact cosmological-type solutions given in equations (5.30)-(5.32). We have singled out a special solution given by (5.21), describing an attractor power-law expansion (see, (5.38), (5.38) and (5.41)), e.g. with accelerated expansion of the scale factor.

We have also found an asymptotical Kasner type behavior of the solutions for small ($\tau \rightarrow +0$) and large ($\tau \rightarrow +\infty$) values of proper time when the matrix (h_{ab}) is positive-definite. In (5.57) we have presented the relation between Kasner parameters (for scalar fields) in both asymptotics.

Here we also have found non-singular solutions, e.g. with bouncing behavior of the scale factors. We have shown that solutions with bouncing behaviour take place when there are “phantom” scalar fields in the model.

Acknowledgments

The work of V.D.I. and V.N.M. was supported in part by a DFG grant Nr. 436 RUS 113/807/0-1 and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Researchs, grant Nr. 05-02-17478.

V.D.I. thanks colleagues from the Physical Department of the University of Konstanz for their hospitality during his visit in May-July and V.N.M. - during his visit in April-June, 2005.

References

- [1] K. Behrndt and S. Forste, String Kaluza-Klein cosmology, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 430** 441 (1994) 441; hep-th/9403179 (see also hep-th/9312167, hep-th/9704013).
- [2] A. Lukas, B.A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Cosmological solutions in type II string theory, *Phys. Lett.* **B 393**, 65 (1997); hep-th/9608195.
- [3] H. Lü, S. Mukherji, C.N. Pope and K.-W. Xu, Cosmological solutions in string theories, *Phys. Rev.* **D 55**, 7926-7935 (1997); hep-th/9610107.
- [4] H. Lü, S. Mukherji and C.N. Pope, From p-branes to cosmology, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 14** 4121-4142 (1999); hep-th/9612224.
- [5] K.A. Bronnikov, M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Integrable Multidimensional Cosmology for Intersecting p-branes, *Grav. Cosmol.*, **3**, No 2 (10), 105-112 (1997).
- [6] M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Integrable multidimensional quantum cosmology for intersecting p-branes, *Grav. and Cosmol.* **3**, No 3 (11), 243-249 (1997); gr-qc/9708031.
- [7] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional classical and quantum cosmology with intersecting p-branes, *J. Math. Phys.*, **39**, 2866-2889 (1998); hep-th/9708157.
- [8] V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, Solutions with intersecting p-branes related to Toda chains, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41** (1) 444-460 (2000); hep-th/9907019.

- [9] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, topical review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, R82-R157 (2001); hep-th/0110274.
- [10] M. Gutperle and A. Strominger, Spacelike branes, *JHEP* **0204**, 018 (2002); hep-th/0202210.
- [11] C.M. Chen, D.M. Gal'tsov and M. Gutperle, S-brane solutions in supergravity theories; *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 024043 (2002); hep-th/0204071.
- [12] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite S-brane solutions related to Toda-type systems, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 261-276 (2003); hep-th/0208101.
- [13] N. Ohta, Intersection rules for S-branes, *Phys. Lett. B* **558**, 213 (2003); hep-th/0301095.
- [14] V. D. Ivashchuk, On composite S-brane solutions with orthogonal intersection rules; hep-th/0309027.
- [15] V.D. Ivashchuk, On exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, In: Proceedings of the 18th Course of the School on Cosmology and Gravitation: The Gravitational Constant. Generalized Gravitational Theories and Experiments (30 April-10 May 2003, Erice). Ed. by G.T. Gillies, V.N. Melnikov and V. de Sabbata, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004), pp. 39-64; gr-qc/0310114.
- [16] V.D. Ivashchuk and D. Singleton, Composite electric *S*-brane solutions with maximal number of branes, *JHEP* **10** (2004) 061 (0-17); hep-th/0407224.
- [17] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the Generalized Composite p-branes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 3001-3029 (1997); Corrigenda *ibid.* **15** (12), 3941 (1998); hep-th/9705036.
- [18] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional cosmology with intersecting p-branes near the singularity. *J. Math. Phys.*, **41**, No 8, 6341-6363 (2000); hep-th/9904077.
- [19] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional multi-scalar cosmological model with exponential potentials, *GRG*, 36, N 7, 1563-1578 (2004); hep-th/0312317.
- [20] D. Lorentz-Petzold, Higher-dimensional cosmologies, *Phys. Lett. B* **148**, 1-3, 43-47 (1984).

- [21] R. Bergamini and C.A. Orzalesi, Towards a cosmology for multidimensional unified theories, *Phys. Lett.* **B 135**, 1-3, 38-42 (1984).
- [22] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Perfect-fluid type solution in multidimensional cosmology, *Phys. Lett.* **A 135**, 9, 465-467 (1989).
- [23] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A.I. Zhuk, On Wheeler-DeWitt Equation in Multidimensional Cosmology, *Nuovo Cimento* **B 104**, 575-581 (1989).
- [24] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Cosmology with m -component Perfect Fluid, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D 3**, No 4, 795-811 (1994); gr-qc/9403063.
- [25] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Non-singular solutions in multidimensional model with scalar fields and exponential potential, *Grav. Cosmol.* **11**, No. 1-2, 111-115 (2005); gr-qc/0504044.
- [26] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard Representation for Multidimensional Cosmology with Multicomponent Perfect Fluid near the Singularity. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **12**, No 3, (1995), 809-826; gr-qc/9407028.